9 Springer-Verlag 1989

Phase separation in a thermotropic copolyester via spinodal decomposition

D. Scheller¹, J. Kressler¹, H.W. Kammer^{1,*}, F. Böhme², D. Voigt², D. Leistner², and M. Rätzsch²

1 Department of Chemistry, Dresden University of Technology, Mommsenstrasse 13, DDR-8027 Dresden, German Democratic Republic 2Academy of Sciences, Institute of Polymer Technology, Hohe Strasse 6, DDR-8010 Dresden,

German Democratic Republic

SUMMARY

Novel experimental evidence is presented for the phase heterogeneity of a thermotropic copolyester containing 50 % of p-hydroxybenzoic acid (HB). Characteristic phase morphologies accompanying spinodal decomposition in polymer blends can be detected. Fractionation and a NMR-analysls demonstrate that the copolyester contains two constituents which differ in their HB-contents.

INTRODUCTION

Recently, it has been interesting to introduce transition studies of copolymers with rigid chain molecules, which frequently display liquid crystallinity. One of the first thermotropic liquid crystalline polymers was obtained by a transesterification reaction of poly(ethylene terephthalate) (PET) and p-acetoxybenzoic acid in the melt phase by Jackson and Kuhfuss /1-3/. These copolyesters (coded P(ET-co-HB)) display liquid crystallinity at or above 40 mol% HB /4/. However, it has been shown that the copolyesters are often heterogeneous systems with at least a HB-rich and a ET-rich phase. The multiphase character has been demonstrated by studies of thermal properties /5,6/, dynamic mechanical measurements /7/, morphological studies /8/, X-ray diffraction measurements /9/ and fractionation investigations /lO/. Furthermore, there is some experimental evidence that the chemical synthesis leads to copolymers which are not completely random but tend to multiblock systems /ll/. This is supported by recent results of the sequence analysis based on NMR-studies /12,13/.

In this paper the phase heterogeneity of a copolyester is monitored by phase separation. Fractionation reveals two components comprising different HB-contents. NMR-studies allow more insight into their chemical nature.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials: The P(ET-co-HB)-50/50 (mol%) was synthesized as usual /1-3/. The fractionation was made by refluxing the copolyester three times in a large excess of chloroform for 24 h. The molecular weights of

^{&#}x27;To whom **offprint requests should be sent**

the P(ET-co-HB)-50/50 and the two fractions are given in Table 1.

Table i: Molecular weights of the P(ET-co-HB)-50/50 copolyester and the two fractions

	g/mol	M_{W} g/mol	M_{W} / M_{W}	g/mol
$P(ET - co - HB) - 50/50$	2.4×10^{4}	7.4 \times 10 ⁴	3.1	$1.1 \times$
chloroform soluble lfraction		1.9×10^{4} 4.2 $\times 10^{4}$	2.2	
chloroform insoluble fraction		2.4×10^{4} 9.0 $\times 10^{4}$	3.8	

* estimated by GPC using polystyrene standards

** estimated from the relation η = 1.23 x 10 7 M $_{\circ}^{10}$

Equipment: The morphology was observed by a phase contrast microscope (Carl-Zeiss-Jena) with crossed polarizer and analyser. For the studies at elevated temperatures a LINKAM temperature cell THM 600 was used. The C^{13} -spectra were obtained on a Bruker-Spectrospin MSL 300 spectrometer using $CDC1₃/hexa$ fluoroisopropanol (50:50 wt%) as a solvent. $Cu(AcAc)$ ₃ was used to shorten the relaxation time. The peak area separation was carried out with the program Linesim.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

<code>Figure 1</code> shows the morphology <code>gf the P(ET-co-HB)-50/50</code> copolyester after annealing at 260 C for 80s.

a) P(ET-co-HB)-50/50 b) P(ET-co-HB)-60/40 blended with PET (50:50 wt%)

Figure 1: Morphology of thin as-cast films annealed at 260 $^{\sf 0}$ C for 80s.

Indeed, for the copolyester a morphology is observed as it is characteristic for spinodal unmixing of a polymer mixture. For a comparision Figure lb reveals the structures which accompany the spinodal decomposition in blends of P(ET-co-HB)-60/40/ PET (blending ratio 50/50 wt%) as described elsewhere /15,16/. Obviously, spinodal decomposition is bound up with multicomponent systems and cannot occur in a copolymer itself. Therefore, a fractionation of the copolyester with chioroform was carried out. Figure 2 depicts the GPC curves of the copolyester and the two fractions.

Figure 2: GPC traces of the P(ET-co-HB)-50/50 copoiyester (A), the chIoroform soiuble fraction (B) and the insoluble fraction (C).

It can be seen that the chloroform insoluble fraction has a somewhat higher molecular weight than the soluble fraction. But the fractionation is mainly carried out with respect to the chemical structure of the copolyester rather than the molecular mass. This can be demonstrated by the completely different morphologies and the phase behavior of the fractions (cf. Fig. 3). The chloroform soluble fraction shows spherulites which seem to be disturbed by a second phase. Also the insoluble traction consists likely of more than one phase. These results are in agreement with DSC-measurements by Quach et al. /lO/.

Also the phase behavior at high temperatures is completety different. The soluble fraction shows an isotropic behavior above the melting point. The insoluble fraction exhibits at elevated temperatures the typical structures of a nematic phase. However, the structures accompanying spinodal decomposition do not appear.

50 μ m

a) chloroform soluble b) chloroform insoluble fraction

Fig.3: Morphology of thin as-cast films of the two fractions at room temperature

To get more insight into the chemical nature of the fractions a sequence analysis based on C^{I2}-NMR measurements was carried out. Figure 4 shows the C±2-NMR spectra of the copolyester and the two fractions. The peaks ranging from 130.0 to 130.8 ppm correspond to the CH-carbon atoms of the terephthalate moieties (cf. Ref./13/). The splitting into four peaks is caused by three different triades (OTO, OTE and ETE; O-oxybenzoate, T-terephthalate and E-ethylene). Owing to the asymmetry of the OTE-triade two peaks appear. The peaks in the range from 122.0 to 122.4 ppm refer to the O-centered triades (gO0, TOO; OOE and TOE) /13/. The chemical compositions of the copolyester and the two fractions were estimated using the integral ratio of the two peak groups (cf. Tab.2). For the copolyester the 50:50 composition was confirmed whereas the insoluble and soluble fractions contain 61% and 39 % HB, respectively. Table 2 shows the experimentally determined and the calculated relative peak areas of the triades. The calculated values were obtained for the case of a random copolymer, i.e., all bonding probabilities are equal /13,17/. It can be seen that deviations from the randomness exist which are somewhat higher for the chloroform insoluble fraction as for the soluble fraction. This may agree with findings of Nicely et al. /12/ that deviations from randomness are more pronounced at higher HBcontents. Furthermore, it should be noticed that the T-centered triades exhibit higher deviations than the g-centered triades. As a result the soluble as well as the insoluble fraction are not really random copolymers but tend slightly to multiblock systems.

In conclusion, the phase separation detected in the 50/50 copolyester is caused dominantly by the different compositions of the constituents. The slightly "blocky" nature of the copolyesters is of minor influence.

<code>Fig.4: C $^{\texttt{L3}}$ -NMR</code> spectra of the copolyester (A), of the soluble fraction (B) and the insoluble fraction (C). The peaks ranging from 122.0 to 122.4 ppm are enlarged vertically by a factor 2.

Table 2: Calculated (after/13/) and by C^{13} -NMR data experimentally determined peak areas of the different triades for the two fractions.

 $\ddot{}$

REFERENCES

- /1/
/2/ H.F. Kuhfuss and W.J. Jackson US Patent 3778410 (1973)
- H.F. Kuhfuss and W.J. Jackson US Patent 3804805 (1974)
- *121 /]/* W.J. Jackson and H.F. Kuhfuss, J. Polym. Sci.,Polym. Phys. Ed. 14 , 2043 (1976)
- *141* F.E. McFarlane, V.A. Nicely and T.G. Davis in "Contemporary Topics in Polymer Science" ed. by E.M. Pearce and J.R. Schefgan, Academic Press New York, Vol. 2 (1977)
- *Isl* M. Meesiri, J.Menczel, U. Gaur and B. Wunderlich, O. Polym. Sci., Polym. Phys. Ed. 20, 719 (1982)
- *161* A.E. Zachariades and J.A. Logan, Polym. Eng. Sci. 23, 797 (198])
- *171* R.S. Benson and D.N. Lewis, Polymer Commun. 27, 289 (1987)
- *181* E. Joseph, G.L. Wilks and D.G. Baird, Polymer 26, 689 (1985)
- */9/* A.E. Zachariades, J. Economy and J.A. Logan, J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 27, 2009 (1982)
- *11ol* L. Quach, W. Volsken, R. Herbold and J. Economy, Polym. Prepr. Am. Chem. Soc. Div. Polym. Chem. <u>27</u>, 307 (1986)
- *1111* E.G. Joseph, G.L. Wilkes and D.G. Baird, Polym. Prepr. Am. Chem. Soc. Div. Polym. Chem. 22, 259 (1981)
- *1121* <code>V.A. Nicely, J.T. Dougherty</code> and <code>L.W. Renfro, Macromole-</code> cules <u>20,</u> 573 (1987)
- *I151* H. Janke, F. Böhme, G. Rafler, K. Graßtrof and M. Rätzsch, in preparation

 \blacksquare

- *1141* G. Rafler, T. Tesch and F. Böhme, Acta Polym. <u>38</u>, 585 (1987)
- *1151* A. Nakai, T. Shiwaku, H. Hasegawa and T. Hashimoto, <code>Macromolecules</code> $19,$ 3010 (1986)
- *1161* J. Kressler, H.W. Kammer, B. Litauszki, F. Böhme and M. Rätzsch, Proceedings 2. Dresdener Polymerdiskussion (19B9), in press
- /17/ B. Vollmert in "Polymer Chemistry", Springer-Verlag New York, pp 117 (197))

Accepted February 28, 1989 C

 $\bar{1}$